UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,678	12/08/2006	Ulrich Meier	967/44780	3710
	7590 08/26/200 HORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
750-17TH STR		HEWITT, JAMES M		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20006-4675		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/578,678	MEIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES M. HEWITT	3679		

	JAMES M. HEWITT	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	221122			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	· ·	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			102 024).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		t canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 12 and 13.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. h . f		h			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.						
	/James M Hewitt/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3679				

Continuation of 13. Other:

The substitute specification filed 8/11/08 is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 and 1.125 and thus obviates the objection to the specification in the 5/14/08 final office action.

The drawings filed 8/11/08 overcome the objection to the drawings noted in the final office action, yet are objected to because the O-ring (22) is not readily evident in Figs. 1 and 3.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the 103 rejections of claims 8-11 as being unpatentable over Klein et al are not found persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the 103 rejections of claims 8 and 12-13 as being unpatentable over Yeoman are found persuasive and thus these rejections have been withdrawn.